# **References**

CROMER, D. T. & OLSEN, C. E. (1959). Acta Cryst. 12. 689.

*Internationale Tabellen zur Bestimmung yon Kristallstrukturen* (1935). Berlin: Borntraeger.

SANDS, D. E., ZALKIN, A. & KKIKORIAN, O. H. (1959). *Acta Cryst.* 12, 461. VIERVOLL, H. & ØGRIM, O. (1949). *Acta Cryst.* 2, 277.

VOGEL, R. (1947). *Metallforschung, 2,* 97.

*Acta Cryst.* (1959). 12, 859

# The Crystal Structure of ISbCl<sub>8</sub> and IAlCl<sub>6</sub>

# **BY C. G. VONK AND E. H. WIEBENGA**

*Laboratorium voor Anorganische en Fysische Chemic der Rijksuniversiteit, Groningen, The Netherlands* 

### *(Received 7 May* 1959)

ISbCl<sub>8</sub> crystallizes in the space group  $P_4$ , with four units ISbCl<sub>8</sub> per cell. The coordinates of the Sb and I atoms were found from the [010] Patterson synthesis. Approximate coordinates of the chlorine atoms were obtained by the heavy-atom technique. The coordinates were refined by successive Fourier-syntheses of the [010] projection. The structure consists of ICl<sub>4</sub> and SbCl<sub>6</sub> ions, which are linked to chains by weak covalent bonds.

IAICl<sub>6</sub> crystallizes in the space group  $P2<sub>1</sub>$  with two units IAICl<sub>6</sub> per cell. The positions of the I atoms were found from Patterson syntheses of the main projections. The positions of the C1 atoms were again determined by the heavy-atom method. This structure consists of chains in which  $\text{ICl}_2^+$ and  $\text{AIC}^{\text{-}}$  ions alternate.

## **Introduction**

Some interhalogen compounds reaet with metal chlorides to give ionic addition compounds. In the more familiar type of these compounds the interhalogen molecule is used in the formation of a negative ion, e.g. ICI $_{2}^{-}$ , IBrCl<sup>-</sup>, ICI $_{4}^{-}$ , BrF $_{4}^{-}$ , etc. Emeléus and his coworkers have shown that also complex positive ions  $(BrF_2^+$ ,  $IF_4^+$ ) can be derived from some of the interhalogens. Electrochemical experiments indicate for instance the existence of  $BrF_2^+$  ions in  $BrSbF_8$  (Woolf & Emel6us, 1949).

We found that  $\text{ICl}_3$  reacts quite readily with  $\text{SbCl}_5$ and AlCl<sub>3</sub> to give the compounds  $ISbCl<sub>8</sub>$  and  $IALCl<sub>6</sub>$ respectively (Vonk, 1959). In view of the analogy between the formulae of BrSbFs and ISbCls it seemed obvious to assume that  $ISbCl<sub>8</sub>$  is built up of  $ICl<sub>7</sub><sup>+</sup>$  and  $SbCl<sub>6</sub>$  ions. Some electrochemical experiments, in which POCl<sub>3</sub> and liquid  $SO<sub>2</sub>$  were used as solvents, were undertaken to verify this assumption. These experiments however failed because ISbCls decomposes in both solvents. It was therefore decided to determine the structures of both ISbCls and IA1C16 by X-ray diffraction.

### **ISbCls**

This compound is obtained as red needle-like crystals when a hot solution of ICl<sub>3</sub> in SbCl<sub>5</sub> is allowed to cool to room temperature. It is also formed when  $Cl<sub>2</sub>$  gas is passed through a solution of IC1 in SbC15.

ISbCls is rapidly attacked by moist air, while on

heating it decomposes at about 60 °C. into SbCl<sub>5</sub>, ICl and  $Cl<sub>2</sub>$ . During the X-ray exposures the crystals were kept in thin-walled pyrex tubes.

The photographs showed tetragonal symmetry. Unit cell:

 $a=b=6.98+0.03, c=24.2+0.1$  Å.

Reflections 00l absent for  $l+4n$ . Space group  $P4_1$ . Density, calculated from the unit-cell volume, assuming 4 ISbCls units per cell: 3.00 g.cm. -3. Observed density  $3.0(\pm 0.2)$  g.cm.<sup>-3</sup>. Crystal habit: Rapidly grown crystals are needle-like along [001] and show the forms  $\{100\}$ ,  $\{001\}$  and  $\{101\}$ . Slowly grown crystals may also show the forms  $\{102\}$ ,  $\{103\}$  and  $\{104\}$ .

### *Intensities*

The intensities of 68 reflections *hkO* and 161 reflections *hOl* were obtained from integrated and nonintegrated Weissenberg photographs taken with Zrfiltered Mo-radiation.

The dimensions of the crystal used for the determination of the intensities  $h0l$  were: 0.06 (rotation  $axis) \times 0.06 \times 0.16$  mm. Because of the relatively large dimensions of the cross section perpendicular to the rotation axis and the relatively large linear absorption coefficient  $\mu = 70$  cm.<sup>-1</sup>, a correction for absorption was applied. For the reflections hk0 the absorption correction was neglected, since these reflections were obtained from a crystal with a cross section of  $0.04 \times 0.04$  mm.<sup>2</sup> perpendicular to the rotation axis.



h k0	$\left  {{F}_{o}} \right $	${F}_c$	h k0	$ F_o $	${F}_c$	h k0	$\left  {{F}_{o}} \right $	${F}_c$	h k0	$\vert{F}_o\vert$	${F_c}$
		176	220	81	$-71$	440	18	4	710	35	39
100	166							43	720	70	$-74$
200	166	$-168$	230	122	$-108$	450	61				
300	56	$-64$	240	26	$-10$	460	24	21	730	22	$-13$
400	168	175	250	50	$-58$	470	85	89	740	24	25
500	89	97	260	69	$-73$	480	35	32	750	< 12	$-10$
600	52	$-52$	270	131	$-139$				760	44	$-60$
700	30	32	280	43	$-42$	510	54	$-58$	770	26	$-28$
800	61	70				520	91	$-87$	780	28	33
	30	19	310	41	39	530	52	47			
900			320	44	49	540	76	72	810	98	96
10, 0, 0	30	$-31$	330	67	$-63$	550	52	39	820	< 12	3
			340	61	$-65$	560	< 10	$\bf{0}$			
110	28	26			$-7$	570	28	19	830	31	$-24$
120	135	$-136$	350	< 10					840	31	25
130	118	$-112$	360	28	$-24$	610	85	$-88$	850	65	52
140	48	48	370	22	$-14$				860	< 12	10
		79	380	28	19	620	57	$-66$	870	< 12	$-2$
150	85		390	43	44	630	44	50	880	31	30
160	26	$-20$				640	59	68			
170	67	$-60$	410	137	127	650	41	$-44$	950	39	45
			420	18	28	660	91	$-99$			
210	26	17	430	30	37	670	33	$-40$	10, 1, 0	35	$-34$

Table 1 *(cont.)* 

### *Determination of the structure*

Because of the tetragonal symmetry the positions of the heavy atoms could be obtained from one, [010], Patterson synthesis, especially from the Harker maxima at  $z=\frac{1}{4}$  and  $z=\frac{1}{2}$ . Because of the small difference in atomic number, no distinction could be made between Sb and I.

To find the  $x$ - and  $y$ -coordinates of the CI atoms Fourier syntheses of the centrosymmetric [001] projection were computed. In the first of these syntheses the signs of 47 structure factors could be determined with reasonable certainty from the contribution of the heavy atoms. After the third synthesis further refinement of this projection was practically impossible because of overlap. Of the eight independent C1 atoms seven could be located.

Next a Fourier synthesis of the non-centrosymmetric [010] projection was prepared. Approximate values of the phase angles of the structure factors were calculated from the positions of the heavy atoms. About half of the available structure amplitudes were not used for this synthesis because the calculated phase angles were too uncertain.

As was to be expected the resulting synthesis showed, apart from the high maxima due to the heavy atoms, twice as many low maxima as C1 atoms to be located. With the aid of the  $x$ - and  $y$ -coordinates from the [001] Fourier synthesis, most of these maxima could be divided into 'real' and 'false' ones. It was found that one half of the heavy atoms was octahedrally surrounded by six Cl atoms. These units were assumed to be  $SbCl<sub>6</sub>$  ions. Furthermore approximately rectangular units  $\text{ICl}_2^+$  could be distinguished.

### *Refinement of the coordinates*

First the [010] projection was refined by means of four successive Fourier and  $(F_o-F_c)$  syntheses; the coordinates were changed according to the double-

shift rule. The shifts in the z-direction for atoms related by the tetragonal screw axis were averaged. For the heavy atoms an anisotropic temperature factor of the form

$$
\exp\left\{-\left[B_{xy}+(B_z-B_{xy})\cos^2\varphi\right]\sin^2\theta/\lambda^2\right\}
$$

was introduced in the last stage of the refinement. In this expression  $\varphi$  is the angle between the normal of the reflecting plane and the z-axis. Values for *Bxy*  and  $B_z$  were obtained by comparing  $F_o$  and  $F_c$  in two groups of reflections  $h0l$  with values of  $\cos^2 \varphi$  between 0 and  $\frac{1}{4}$ , and between  $\frac{3}{4}$  and 1 respectively; only reflections with a relatively small contribution of the C1 atoms were used. A separate isotropic temperature coefficient for the C1 atoms was found by trial.

After the last calculation of the structure factors, the disagreement index

$$
R = \Sigma \big| |F_o| - |F_c| \big| / \Sigma |F_o|
$$

amounted to 8.1%. In Table 1 the final values of  $F_c$ 

Table 2. *Final atomic coordinates and temperature coefficients for* ISbCls

	Coordinates		
	x	y	z
1	0.754	0.848	0.1225
Sb	0.239	0.001	0.0000
Cl,	0.781	0.579	0.066
Cl,	0.570	0.688	0.186
$Cl_3$	0.724	0.182	0.190
Cl,	0.942	0.110	0.040
Cl,	0.076	0.519	0.204
$Cl_n$	0.263	0.756	0.065
Cl,	0.180	0.057	0.193
Cl <sub>a</sub>	0.400	0.214	0.058

Temperature coefficients

Sb,I:  $B_{xy} = 1.6$  $\begin{array}{rcl} B_z &= 2.6 \\ B &= 3.0 \end{array}$ CI:  $B = 3.0$  are compared with  $F_o$ . The final coordinates and temperature coefficients are listed in Table 2 and in Fig. 1 the corresponding *Yo* synthesis is shown.



Fig. 1. ISbCl<sub>s</sub>; Fourier synthesis of the  $[010]$  projection. Contourlines are drawn at 5, 10, 15  $\dots$  e.A<sup>-2</sup> in the maxima of the Cl atoms and at 5, 10, 20, 30  $\dots$  e.  $A^{-2}$  in the maxima of the Sb and I atoms.

Also the structure factors  $F_{hk0}$  were calculated from the final coordinates. The disagreement index, which amounted to 18% when the refinement of the [001] projection was stopped, decreased to 10.5%.

# *Accuracy*

The standard deviations in the atomic coordinates were estimated from the  $(F_o - F_c)$  values by the method of Cruickshank (1949). Because of the lack of a centre of symmetry in the [010] projection the values obtained from the structure factors  $F_{h0l}$  were doubled (Cruickshank, 1950). The following standard deviations in the atomic coordinates q, interatomic distances  $l$  and bond angles  $\varphi$  were found:



# IAICI<sub>6</sub>

IA1CI6 was prepared by heating equivalent amounts of IC18 and A1C13 in chloroform or carbontetrachloride as a solvent to about 100 °C. in a sealed tube. After cooling, a crust of red IA1C16 crystals had formed in the lower part of the tube.  $X$ -ray photographs were made of fragments of these crystals. Because of the very rapid decomposition of  $I AICI_6$  in the open air these fragments were kept in thin-walled pyrex tubes. The photographs showed monoclinie symmetry.

Unit cell:

$$
a=6.92 \pm 0.03
$$
,  $b=11.02 \pm 0.05$ ,  $c=6.11 \pm 0.03$  Å;  
 $\beta=99.1^{\circ}$ .

Reflections 0k0 were absent for  $k=2n+1$ . The space group  $P2_1$  was accepted because  $P2_1/m$  was not in agreement with the [100] Patterson synthesis. Density, calculated from the volume of the unit cell, assuming two IAlCl<sub>6</sub> units per cell:  $2.64$  g.cm.<sup>-3</sup>. Observed density  $2.4 \pm 0.3$  g.cm.<sup>-3</sup>.

## *Intensities*

Integrated and non-integrated Weissenberg photographs of the reflections 0kl were made from a needlelike crystal fragment for which the absorption of the employed Mo  $K_{\alpha}$ -radiation could be neglected. The same crystal was used to obtain the reflections *hO1* on precession photographs.

Precession photographs were also made of the reflections  $hk0$ . In this case a thin crystal slab was used which was irradiated transversely, so that the absorption was about equal for all reflections and could be neglected.

In this way the intensities of 75 reflections *hkO,*  64 reflections *hOl* and 89 reflections *Okl* were obtained. The intensities from the precession photographs were corrected for Lorentz- and polarisation factors in the way described by Waser (1951).

### *Determination of the structure*

The position of the I atom was found from Patterson syntheses of two of the main projections; approximate coordinates of the light atoms were obtained by the heavy-atom technique. This method was first applied to the centrosymmetric [010] projection, then to the non-centrosymmetric [001] projection in which the light-atom maxima have ghosts at centrosymmetric positions. It appeared that a structure consisting of tetrahedral AlCl<sub>4</sub> ions and rectangular  $ICl_2^+$  ions fitted the Fourier maps.

## *Refinement of the coordinates*

At first the three main projections were refined separately by successive Fourier syntheses. The coordinates in the non-centrosymmetric projections were changed according to the double-shift rule. As however the separate refinement appeared to be difficult because of overlap of two or more atoms in each



#### Coordinates



#### Temperature coefficients

I, Al:  $B = 3.0$ <br>Cl:  $B = 4.0$  $B = 4.0$ 

# C. G. VONK AND E. H. WIEBENGA





Fig. 2. IAICl<sub>6</sub>; Fourier syntheses of (a)  $[100]$ , (b)  $[001]$  and (c)  $[010]$  projections. Contourlines are drawn at 5 (broken), 10, 15, 20...  $e.\AA^{-2}$  in the maxima of the Cl atoms and at 5 (broken), 10, 20, 30...  $e.\AA^{-2}$  in the maxima of the I atom.

projection, the final coordinates were determined by simultaneous refinement of the three projections. This means that one set of atomic coordinates was used for the calculation of the structure factors for all three projections, after which the corresponding shifts, following from the  $(F_o-F_c)$  syntheses, were averaged.

After the second simultaneous structure factor calculation the shifts derived from the  $(F_o-F_c)$ syntheses were contradictory in many cases and the refinement was not continued further. The disagreement indices for the three sets of structure factors were 6.2, 7.3 and 8.1% for the [001], [010] and [100] projection respectively. The temperature coefficients and the atomic coordinates which were used in the last structure-factor calculation are listed in Table 3. and in Fig. 2 the final Fourier syntheses of the three projections are given. Table 4 shows the observed and calculated structure factors.

### Accuracy

The standard deviations of the coordinates of the Cl and Al atoms calculated for the different projections yielded somewhat different values. Of these the values obtained for the [100] projection were the highest. These were also considered to be the most reliable, since in this projection overlap of different atoms occurred only to a minor degree, whereas the number of reflections used for the Fourier syntheses of this projection was the largest. On the basis of these values the following standard deviations in the coordinates, interatomic distances and bond angles were finally assumed:



# Discussion of the structures

The structure of ISbCl<sub>8</sub> is shown in Fig. 3. It can be considered as consisting of layers of Cl atoms between



Fig. 3. Structure of  $ISbCl<sub>8</sub>$  as viewed along [010].

which the Sb and I atoms are located. Perpendicular to the tetragonal screw axes there are two crystallographically different sets of Cl layers: those at  $z = \frac{1}{16}c$ ,  $\frac{5}{16}c$ , etc. and those at  $z = \frac{3}{16}c$ ,  $\frac{7}{16}c$  etc. Layers of the same set are identical because of the tetragonal screw axes. Though there are no symmetry relations between the two sets of layers they are still almost identical. The mean difference of the positions of the C1 atoms with respect to each other in the two kinds of layers is only 0.16 A. The arrangement of the C1 atoms in the layers is shown in Fig. 4.



Fig. 4. Packing of C1 atoms in a layer perpendicular to [010] in  $ISbCl<sub>8</sub>$ . The I atoms just above and the Sb atoms just below the layer are indicated by small full and broken circles respectively.



Fig. 5. Main bond lengths and bond angles in  $ISbCl<sub>8</sub>$ .



At first sight the structure of ISbCl<sub>8</sub> can be considered as an ionic structure consisting of  $\text{IC}1^+$  ions which are almost rectangular, and  $SbCl<sub>6</sub>$  ions with a distorted octahedrical configuration. The rectangular shape of the  $\text{ICl}_2^+$  ions is to be expected from the electronic configuration of the I+ ions: the two unpaired 5p electrons of this ion may be used for the bonds with the C1 atoms.

The main interatomic distances and bond angles are indicated in Fig. 5. From an examination of these distances it follows that there is a special interaction between the  $\text{ICl}_2^+$  and the SbCl<sub>6</sub> ions. The distances  $I-Cl<sub>3</sub>$  and  $I-Cl<sub>4</sub>$  are larger than the I-Cl distances in the  $ICI<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>$  ions, but appreciably shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii  $(3.9 \text{ Å})$ . Apparently weak bonds are present between the  $\text{ICl}_2^+$  and SbCl<sub>6</sub> ions, by which these ions are linked to chains parallel to the z-axis of the crystal. In Fig. 5 these bonds are indicated by broken lines.

It further follows from Fig. 5 that the lengths of the bonds  $Sb$ -Cl<sub>3</sub> and  $Sb$ -Cl<sub>4</sub> are larger than those of the other bonds in the SbCl<sub>6</sub> ion. From a  $\gamma^2$ -test (Cruickshank & Robertson, 1953) it was concluded that these differences are significant.

Finally it is noticeable that the CI-I-C1 angles in the group of atoms I,  $Cl_1$ ,  $Cl_2$ ,  $Cl'_3$  and  $Cl_4$  are all about  $90^\circ$  and that this group is almost planar. Using the method of the least squares (with different weights for the I and C1 atoms) the deviations from coplanarity for the atoms of the group were found to be  $0.02, -0.18, 0.01, 0.02, \text{ and } -0.13 \text{ Å respectively.}$ Hence, apart from the difference in the I-C1 distances, the configuration of this group is very similar to that of the  $\text{ICL}^-$  ion, in which the Cl atoms are located at the corners of a square around the I atom (Mooney, 1938).

These observations indicate that the structure of ISbCls is intermediate between the structures  $[ICl<sub>2</sub>]$ + $[SbCl<sub>6</sub>]$  and  $[ICl<sub>4</sub>]$   $-[SbCl<sub>4</sub>]$ <sup>+</sup>. In the terminology of the valence-bond method the structure may be represented as a mesomerism according to the scheme

$$
[\mathrm{ICl}_2]^+[\mathrm{SbCl}_6]^- \longleftrightarrow [\mathrm{ICl}_4]^-[\mathrm{SbCl}_4]^+
$$

in which the first predominates.

The structure of  $I AICl_6$ , which is shown in Fig. 6, is similar to the structure of ISbCls in many respects.



Fig. 6. Structure of  $I AICl<sub>6</sub>$  as viewed along [100].

In this compound there are Cl layers parallel to  $(001)$ . Because of the diad screw axis the layers are however all identical in this case.



Fig. 7. Main bond lengths and bond angles in  $I AICI<sub>6</sub>$ .



As can be seen from Fig. 7, in which the main interatomic distances and bond angles are given, the structure  $[\text{ICl}_2]^+[\text{AlCl}_4]^-$  prevails in  $[\text{AlCl}_6]$ . The  $[\text{Cl}_2^+]$ ions have the same configuration as in ISbCls, whereas the  $AlCl<sub>4</sub>$  ions have an approximately tetrahedral configuration.

The distances I-Cl<sub>4</sub> and I-Cl<sub>6</sub> are about the same as the corresponding distances in ISbCls. Apparently the  $ICl_2^+$  and  $AlCl_4^-$  ions are linked by weak bonds to chains parallel to [001]. Again the shape of the group I, Cl<sub>1</sub>, Cl<sub>2</sub>, Cl<sub>4</sub>, Cl<sub>6</sub> is similar to that of an ICl<sub>4</sub> ion. The deviations of the atoms from the nearest plane are  $0.004, -0.09, -0.03, -0.03$  and  $0.07$  Å respectively.

Therefore the structure of  $I AICl<sub>6</sub>$  may be represented by a resonance between the structures

 $\text{[ICl}_2$ <sup>+</sup> $\text{[AlCl}_4$ <sup>-</sup> and  $\text{[ICl}_4$ <sup>-</sup> $\text{[AlCl}_2$ <sup>+</sup>

in which the first predominates.

**:-% ::.:: ~ ~•.? : : ~ : :/ .... .-Q** 

The extension of the bonds  $Al-Cl<sub>4</sub>$  and  $Al-Cl<sub>6</sub>$  which is to be expected from this resonance, is difficult to observe in this case because of the high standard deviation which had to be assigned to the A1-C1 distances. Actually these bonds are found to be somewhat longer than the other two A1-C1 bonds in the  $AICI<sub>4</sub>$  ion, but the differences are not significant.

A similar mesomerism as assumed in ISbCls and IA1C16 was used by Boswijk & Wiebenga (1954) to describe the structure of iodine trichloride. The structure of the flat  $I_2Cl_6$  molecules of which this compound consists was represented by a mesomerism between the structures :

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \text{Cl} \\ \text{Cl} \end{bmatrix}^+ \begin{bmatrix} \text{Cl} \\ \text{Cl} \end{bmatrix} \text{I} \begin{bmatrix} \text{Cl} \\ \text{Cl} \end{bmatrix}^- \ \ \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} \text{Cl} \\ \text{Cl} \end{bmatrix} \text{I} \begin{bmatrix} \text{Cl} \\ \text{Cl} \end{bmatrix}^- \begin{bmatrix} \text{I} \begin{bmatrix} \text{Cl} \\ \text{Cl} \end{bmatrix}^+ \end{bmatrix}
$$

In contradistinction to the case of  $ISbCl<sub>8</sub>$  and  $IAlCl<sub>6</sub>$ the two structures will here contribute to the same extent, which explains that the distance between the I atoms and the central Cl atoms in  $I_2Cl_6$  (2.70 Å) is smaller than the corresponding distances  $I - Cl_3$ ,  $I - Cl_4$ in ISbCl<sub>8</sub> and I-Cl<sub>4</sub>, I-Cl<sub>6</sub> in IAlCl<sub>6</sub> (mean value 2.9 Å).

We wish to thank Theodorus Niemeyer N.V. Groningen for kindly putting their IBM machines at our disposal, and Mr H. Schiirer for his assistance with the computational work. The Netherlands Organisation for the Advancement of Pure Research (Z.W. 0.) supported the work indirectly.

#### **References**

- BOSWIJK, K. H. & WIEBENGA, E. H. (1954). *Acta Cryst.*  7, 417.
- CRUICKSHANK, D. W. J. (1949). *Acta Cryst. 2,* 65.
- CRUmESHANK, D. W. J. (1950). *Acta Cryst.* 3, 72.
- CRUICKSHANK, D. W. J. & ROBERTSON, A. P. (1953). *Acta Cryst.* 6, 698.
- Moo~EY, R. C. L. (1938). *Z. Kristallogr.* 98, 377.
- Vomit, C. G. (1959). *Rec. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas.* To be published.
- WASER, J. (1951). *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 22, 567.
- %VOOLF, A. A. & EMEL£US, H. J. (1949). *J. Chem. Soc.*  p. 1865.